

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Seasonal Transmission Dynamics of Rift Valley Fever in Kilimanjaro Region, Tanzania

Kevin M Rwegoshola[°], Richard S Mwakapuja^b, Sixbert I Mkumbaye[°], Pendo M Ibrahim[°], Hadija S Semvua^d, Pius G Hom**uru**pe**mb**e^{e,f}, Jaffu O Chilongola[°]

^oDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College, Moshi, Tanzania, ^bTanzania Vaccine Institute, Tanzania Veterinary Laboratory Agency (TVLA), Kibaha, Tanzania, ^cDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College, Moshi, Tanzania, ^aClinical Trials department, Kilimanjaro Clinical Research Institute, Moshi, Tanzania, ^aDepartment of Curative Services, Ministry of Health, Dodoma, Tanzania, ^fDepartment of Public Health and Research, Lugalo Military College of Medical Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

Correspondence to Kevin M Rwegoshola (rwegok93@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Background: Rift Valley Fever (RVF) is a zoonotic disease that affects both animals and humans. Under reporting, misdiagnosis caused by the broad spectrum of symptoms presented by the disease, and limited access to rapid and accurate laboratory confirmation have led to an undefined burden of RVF. Reports are available that show the circulation of the virus during inter-epidemic periods, implying an endemic circulation of RVFV. This study aimed to determine RVFV transmission across annual seasons and demographic factors that are independently associated with exposure to RVFV. **Methodology:** Repeated serosurveys were performed during the long rainy, short rainy, and dry seasons in Lower Moshi area of Moshi district, Kilimanjaro region from January to December 2020. The goal was to determine seroprevalence against RVFV antibodies in humans and factors associated with seropositivity. Detection of RVF antibody was performed by competitive Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (cELISA) using serum samples. Stata statistical software version 15 was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics was carried out, whereby categorical variables were summarised using frequencies and percentages. Numeric variables were summarised using median and interquartile range. Logistic regression was used to assess factors associated with RVF seroprevalence was highest during rainy season (120, 4%) and lowest in the day season (14%). The overall annual seroprevalence of RVF was 12, 8%. Season participant

Results: A total of 446 individuals were involved in the analysis. RVF seroprevalence was highest during rainy season (20.4%) and lowest in the dry season (4%). The overall annual seroprevalence of RVF was 12.8%. Season, participant age, and large number of residents in a given household were found to be significantly associated with RVF seropositivity (p < .05).

Conclusion: RVFV demonstrates an endemic circulation in Lower Moshi area of Kilimanjaro region, suggesting the site is a potential RVF hotspot. Based on this study's findings, we recommend close surveillance of RVF in the study area and other areas with similar ecology in Tanzania as a means of preparedness for future unpredicted RVF outbreaks.

BACKGROUND

Rift Valley Fever (RVF) is a Zoonotic disease that is caused by a Rift Valley Fever Virus (RVFV) belonging to family Bunyaviridae of genus Phlebovirus.¹ The disease primarily affects animals but can also infect humans.² Human transmission of RVF is through direct contact with infected animals mainly livestock such as; cattle, sheep, goats, buffalo, and camels, and bites from infected mosquitoes especially the Aedes and Culex species. Humans are at risk of being infected by RVFV when they live and engage in activities that bring them into contact with animals, animal products and vector mosquitoes.³

Due to under reporting, misdiagnosis caused by a broad spectrum of symptoms the infection can present with, and limited access to rapid and accurate laboratory confirmation, the burden of RVF remains undefined globally.⁴ Reports show that RVF has mainly affected the Arabian Peninsula and Africa,^{2,5} with over 3000 reported suspected and confirmed cases and approximately 1000 deaths from 2000 to 2007. In Tanzania, recent RVF outbreaks were reported in 2006 and 2007; Overall outbreaks occurred in 39.2% of the districts in Tanzania.⁶ Such outbreaks may result in major societal impacts, including significant economic losses due to severe illnesses and abortions in domestic animals, which are significant income sources in different communities. Furthermore, RVF outbreaks have negative consequences on trade resulting from cross-border quarantines of domestic animal movements and animal products.⁶

Despite its rare occurrence and absence of its epidemics in recent years, studies in Tanzania continue to report the existence of RVF during the inter-epidemic period, in different regions of the country for both animals and humans, hence showing evidence of virus circulation in Tanzania.⁷⁻⁹ Most of the studies in Tanzania have attempted to determine the point prevalence of RVF with few or no studies that determine the period prevalence of RVF, showing the effect of seasonality on the transmission of RVFV as evidenced by the prevalence of RVF antibodies across seasons. Nonetheless, Regardless, key questions regarding the epidemiology of RVF remain to be; where the virus hides during the inter-epidemic periods, where RVF hotspots are, when will the next outbreak occur and whether seasonality affects RVFV transmission. This study aimed to determine RVFV transmission across annual seasons and demographic factors that are independently associated with exposure to RVFV.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

The study involved comprehensive seasonal crosssectional serosurveys to identify the seasonal interepidemic transmission dynamics of RVFV and its potential reservoirs across different seasons of the year 2020. The study conducted repeated seasonal cross-sectional serosurveys for a period of 12 months in a distinct ecological area with intimate contact between humans, livestock and vector mosquitoes.

Study Area

Figure 1 shows the study site as shown elsewhere.¹⁰ The study was conducted in 3 villages, namely; Mikocheni, Chemchem, and Arusha Chini of Lower Moshi in Moshi Rural district of Kilimanjaro region of Tanzania. The site was purposively selected due to the presence of different RVFV hosts (Mosquitoes, humans, and ruminant animals) hence maximising the detection of the virus. Lower Moshi is located on the southern foothills of Mount Kilimanjaro, bordered by Kikuletwa River, Hai District, and Manyara Region on the West, while to the East, it borders Mwanga district. It's elevation ranges between 700 and 800 metres above sea level. Culex spp and Aedes spp are the main RVF vectors in this area.^{11,12}

Lower Moshi has numerous water streams forming the irrigation channels for rice and sugar cane, covering an area of about 1100 hectares.¹³ The area has 2 rainy seasons, the long rains which run from March to May and the short rainy season from October to December. The average annual rainfall is 900 mm and is highly seasonal with period March to May accounting for 70% of the annual precipitation. The remainder falls during the unpredictable short rains between October and December. Between these 2 rainy seasons is a hot dry season from January to February and a cool dry season from June to September. Aside from paddy production, residents of the area also grow vegetables, maize, peas, and beans. They also keep cattle, goats, sheep, and poultry.

Population and Eligibility Criteria

The study population included all residents of the 3-study village (males and females) aged 10 years and above who were involved in either crop farming or livestock keeping. Individuals who were absent during the time of data collection, who were critically ill, had cognitive impairment and those who had underlying health conditions that interfered with the drawing of blood, were excluded from the study. Consent to participate in the study was obtained directly from adults aged 18 years and above, whereas parents or legal guardians for participants aged below 18 years consented on their children's behalf. Also, before participation, the children assented to participate in the study.



Sample Size and Sampling Technique

The study area was purposively selected since the area has the interaction of different RVFV hosts, mosquitoes, humans and ruminant animals. Stratified random sampling was used to group households based on eligibility, followed by a simple random sample from each stratum. Convenient sampling based on inclusion criteria was used to sample study participants. Sampling was done 3 times in the year, once during each season; the long rainy season (March-May), dry season (June-September) and a short rainy season (October-December). A total of 446 participants in all 3 seasons were sampled through crosssectional serosurveys such that; 124 participants in the dry season, 172 participants in the long rains season and 150 participants in the short rains season were recruited.

Data Collection Methods

Data collection involved the use of electronic forms designed using Open Data Kit (ODK) tools (<u>https://opendatakit.org</u>/) deployed in Android tablets for participants' demographic data collection, then followed by blood sample collection.

Blood Sample Collection

The blood sample collection was performed by a team of expert phlebotomists from Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre (KCMC). Venipuncture was used to draw 3 millilitres of blood from the median cubital vein. Each sample was split into two 1.5 ml aliquots, which were then put into plain and EDTA vacutainer tubes, respectively. Each sample was placed in an EDTA tube with 4.5 ml of Tri Reagent (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), gently mixed by shaking for 1 minute, and then sent directly to the Kilimanjaro Clinical Research Institute (KCRI) biotechnology lab at 4°C for Ribonucleic acid (RNA) extraction and Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) analysis. After allowing the samples to clot for not more than 20 minutes at room temperature, they were spun at 2000g for 10 minutes in a refrigerator-based centrifuge to produce clear serum, which was then transferred to sterile, clean serum tubes. Immunoglobulin G (IgG) and Immunoglobulin M (IgM) to RVFV were screened for in serum samples.

RVFV Competitive ELISA

The ID Screen RVF Competition Multi-Species kit (IDvet, Gables, France) was used to conduct a Competitive Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (cELISA) to check for antibodies against RVFV in all blood samples. This kit can identify both IgG and IgM antibodies against the RVFV nucleoprotein (NP). The kit's sensitivity range from 91% to 100%, and it's specificity is 100%.¹⁴ According to the manufacturer's directions and as previously mentioned, the cELISA technique was carried out.8 The mean value of the 2 negative controls (ODNC) was calculated to control each plate's validity, and a plate was considered as being valid if the OD_{NC} was greater than 0.7. The mean value of the 2 positive controls divided by OD_{NC} needed to be 0.3 for a plate to be considered valid. The competition percentage for each sample was obtained by multiplying (OD_{sample}/OD_{NC}) by 100. A sample was considered positive if the result was less than 0.4 and negative if it was greater than 0.5.

Data Management

Each season had its dataset which was recorded in Microsoft Excel. The principal investigator ensured the confidentiality of the data throughout the study and data collected was only used for the study.

Statistical Analysis

The 3 datasets were initially integrated into a single Microsoft Excel file, with seasonal data indicated, for the examination of seasonal seroprevalence of RVF. Following that, the datasets were imported for analysis into STATA software 15. (Stata Corp LLC, College Station, Texas, USA). Data cleaning was performed to ensure consistence of the variables in the 3 datasets. Encoding, labelling, defining, recording, and variable generation were carried out to produce clean datasets. The variables in the dataset that had complete data were used in the analysis. A single, consolidated dataset was then created by combining the 3 original datasets.

A univariate logistic regression model was first fitted to obtain the crude odds ratios (cORs). Variables with a p-value <.05 were considered to be statistically significantly associated with the outcome variable. Akaike information criterion was used to assess the best form to fit the variable age and number of people living in the same household, either as a categorical or a numeric variable. A model with a lesser Akaike value was selected as a good fit model. A likelihood ratio test was conducted to select variables to be used in a multivariable logistic regression model. All the exposure variables were fitted one at a time with the exposure variable of interest (Seasonal distribution). When the test produced a p-value <.05, then the corresponding variable was entered into a multivariable logistic regression model. A multivariable logistic regression model was then performed on the selected variables to obtain the Adjusted OR (aOR) and variables with a p-value <.05 were considered statistically significantly associated with the outcome variable.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical clearance certificate PG 42/2021 was granted by Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University's College Research and Ethics Review Committee (CRERC). Relevant permissions to collect specimens from the field were obtained from the district and regional medical officers and respective district and regional administrative secretaries for Moshi CBD and Kilimanjaro region. In all cases, confidentiality was maintained.

RESULTS

Social Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants A total of 446 individuals who met the inclusion criteria were included for analysis in this study. The overall median age of the participants was 40 (IQR=26-54) whereby, 237 (53.6%) of the participants were aged between 21 and 50 years. Of all the participants, 286 (54.2%) were females (Table 1).

Seasonal Seroprevalence of RVF

As shown in Figure 2, the overall seroprevalence of RVF in the year 2020 in the Lower Moshi area of the Moshi district of the Kilimanjaro region was 12.8%. The long rainy season had the highest RVF seroprevalence of 20.4% whereas the dry season had the lowest (4%).



Figure 2 shows the overall RVF seroprevalence across each season. The y-axis shows the percentage for RVF seroprevalence whereas the x-axis presents seasons of the year. Participants were most seropositive (exposed) to RVFV in the long rainy season, but least exposed in the dry season.

Proportional difference of Participants' Demographic Characteristics with RVF Seropositivity

RVF seropositivity significantly differed across the seasons of the year, age of participant and education level. There were marginal associations between the number of individuals living in the same house and travelling outside the residence area. A high proportion of participants who were RVF seropositive were in the long rainy season, (χ^2 =17.634, *p*<.01) and participants who were aged above 50 years were more seropositive (χ^2 =17.058, *p*<.01). Also, participants with primary education were more seropositive compare to other education groups (χ^2 =7.750, p<.01). Results are presented in Table 2.

Factors Associated with RVF Seropositivity

Results on the participants' factors that are associated with RVF seropositivity are summarised in Table 3. During the long rainy season of the year, participants had 6.08 times higher odds of being seropositive with RVF compared to the dry season. in the general population [OR:6.08, (95%CI, 2.31-16.0)], while participants in the short rainy season had 3.04 times higher odds of RVF seropositivity compared to the dry season [OR:3.04, (95% CI, 1.08-8.50)]. Age in numerical form was chosen by the Akaike information criterion as the optimum form, therefore, for every additional year of age, the odds of being seropositive for RVF in the general population rise by 1.03 times higher [(OR:1.03, (95% CI, 1.01-1.04)].

After performing the likelihood ratio test, the variables chosen for the adjusted logistic regression analysis

were; season, sex, participant's age, and the number of persons residing in the same house. Except for sex, all other chosen factors in the final model had a statistically significant association with RVF seropositivity at a p-value <.05. We also found the variable 'season' to confound the variable "number of people living in the same house" by 29.2%. After adjusting for sex, age of the participant, and the number of people residing in the same household in the general population, participants in the long rainy season had 7.30 times higher odds of RVF seropositivity compared to the dry season [(OR:7.3, (95% CI, 2.46-21.67)], whereas, they had 3.35 times higher odds of being RVF seropositive during the short rainy season than they did during the dry season [(OR:3.35, (95% CI, 1.06-10.56)].

Additionally, after adjusting for a season, sex and the number of persons living in the same house, it was observed that, for every one-year increase in age, there was a significant increase of seropositivity to RVFV by 1.03 times higher odds [(OR:1.03, (95%CI 1.01-1.04)]. Individuals living in the same house at a number greater than 5 had 2.77 times higher odds of being RVF seropositive compared to those who shared a home with less than or equal to 5 persons [OR:2.77, (95% CI, 1.27–6.03)].

| Variable | The dry season (n=124) n (%) | The long rainy season (n=172) n (%) | The short rainy season (n=150) n (%) | Total (N=446) n (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sex Female @ Male | 51 (41.1) 73 (58.9) | 105 (61.4) 66 (38.6) | 85 (56.7) 65 (43.3) | 241 (54.2) 204 (45.8) |
| Age (years) # < 20 21-50 >50 Median (IQR) | 1 (0.8) 94 (77.7) 26 (21.5) 41 (32-49) | 19 (11.1) 77 (44.8) 76 (44.1) 48 (29-60.5) | 46 (30.9) 66 (44.3) 37 (24.8) 35 (16-50) | 66 (14.9) 237 (53.6 139 (31.5 40 (26-54) |
| Number of people in the same household δ ≤ 5 > 5 Median (IQR) | 58 (46.8) 66 (53.2) 5 (3-6) | 83 (48.5) 88 (51.5) 5 (3-6) | 9 (6.0) 141 (94.0) 8 (7-11) | 150 (33.7) 295 (66.3) 6 (4-8) |
| Travelled outside domicile No Yes | 76 (61.3) 48 (38.7) | 114 (66.3) 58 (33.7) | 126 (84.0) 24 (16.0) | 316 (70.9) 130 (29.1) |
| Area of destination (n=130) Rural Peri/Urban destination | 15 (31.2) 33 (68.8) | 22 (37.9) 36 (62.1) | 8 (33.3) 16 (66.7) | 45 (34.6) 85 (65.4) |
| Education level No formal education Primary education Tertiary education | 44 (35.5) 12 (9.7) 68 (54.8) | 42 (24.4) 116 (67.4) 14 (8.2) | 26 (17.3) 106 (70.7) 18 (12.0) | 112 (25.1 234 (52.5 100 (22.4 |

The symbols @, # and δ represent the following: @= One missing value on sex on the long rainy season; #= Four missing values on age (3 during the dry season and 1 during

| Variable | Total | Rift Valley Fever n (%) | Seropositivity 95% Cl | χ 2 | P-value |
|--|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|---------|
| Season | | | | | |
| Dry Season | 124 (27.8) | 5 (4.0) | 1.6-9.3 | 17.634 | <.001 |
| Long rainy season | 172 (38.6) | 35 (20.4) | 15.0-27.0 | | |
| Short rainy season | 150 (33.6) | 17 (11.3) | 7.1-17.5 | | |
| Sex* | | | | | |
| Female | 241 (54.2) | 25 (10.4) | 7-14.9 | 2.336 | .126 |
| Male | 204 (45.8) | 31 (15.2) | 10.9-20.8 | | |
| Age of Participants (Years)# | · · · · | | | | |
| <20 | 66 (13.9) | 6 (9.1) | 4.1-18.9 | 17.058 | <.001 |
| 21-50 | 237 (55.6) | 19 (8.0) | 5.2-12.3 | 111050 | 4001 |
| >50 | 139 (29.8) | 31 (22.3) | 16.1-30.0 | | |
| Number of people living in the same household" | · · · · · | | | | |
| ≤5 | 150 (33.7) | 13 (8.7) | 5.1-14.4 | 3.476 | .062 |
| > 5 | 295 (66.3) | 44 (15.0) | 11.3-19.5 | 5.170 | .002 |
| Fravelled outside domicile | 275 (00.5) | 11 (19:0) | 11.9 17.9 | | |
| No | 316 (70.9) | 45 (14.2) | 10.8-18.6 | 2.074 | .150 |
| Yes | 130 (29.1) | 12 (9.2) | 5.2-15.6 | | |
| Area of travel $(n=130)$ | () | () | | | |
| Rural destination | 45 (11.7) | 7 (15.6) | 7.5-29.6 | 3.286 | .070 |
| Peri/Urban destination | 85 (20.4) | 5 (5.9) | 2.4-13.5 | | |
| Highest education level | | | | | |
| No formal education | 112 (22.4) | 14 (12.5) | 7.5-20.1 | 7.950 | .019 |
| Primary education | 234 (53.0) | 38 (16.2) | 12.0-21.6 | | 1017 |
| Tertiary education | 100 (24.6) | 5 (5) | 2.1-11.5 | | |

TABLE 2: Proportional of RVF Seropositivity Across the Study Participants' Characteristics (N=446)

Table 2 presents associations between participant characteristics and RVF seropositivity. Rows displays the total number of participants in each variable level, the frequency and proportion of RVF-positive individuals in each category with their 95% CI, the chi-square test (2) value, and their corresponding P-values for statistical significance. Column show independent categorical variables assessed. The symbols *, #, and " each show one missing value for the sex column, four missing values for the age column, and one missing value for the number of individuals residing in the same household

TABLE 3: Factors Associated with RVF Seropositivity

| Variable | cOR (95%CI) | P-value | aOR (95%CI) | P-value |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Season | | | | |
| Dry Season | Ref | | Ref | |
| Long rainy season | 6.08 (2.31-16.01) | <.001 | 7.30 (2.46-21.67) | <.001 |
| Short rainy season | 3.04 (1.09-8.50) | .034 | 3.35(1.06-10.56) | .039 |
| Sex | | | | |
| Female | Ref | | Ref | |
| Male | 1.55 (0.88-2.72) | .128 | 1.42 (0.77-2.63) | .261 |
| Age of Participant | 1.03 (1.01-1.04) | <.001 | 1.03 (1.01-1.04) | .001 |
| Number of people living in the same | | | | |
| ≤ 5 | Ref | | Ref | |
| > 5 | 1.85 (0.96-3.54) | .065 | 2.77 (1.27-6.03) | .01 |

Continue

| TABLE 3: Continued | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------|-------------|---------|--|
| Variable | cOR (95%Cl) | P-value | aOR (95%CI) | P-value | |
| Travelled outside domicile | | | | | |
| No | Ref | | | | |
| Yes | 0.61 (0.31-1.20) | .153 | - | - | |
| Area of travel | | | | | |
| Rural destination | 2.95 (0.88-9.89) | .08 | - | - | |
| Peri/Urban destination | Ref | | | | |
| Highest education level | | | | | |
| No formal education | Ref | | | | |
| Primary education | 1.36 (0.70-2.62) | .364 | - | - | |
| Tertiary education | 0.37 (0.13-1.06) | .065 | - | - | |

Table 3 summarises the analysis of factors associated with RVF seropositivity. The column shows the factors that are being assessed while the row shows the crude odds ratio (cOR) and adjusted odds ratio (aOR) with their 95%CI and P-values to confirm any statistically significant associations of the factors with RVF seropositivity.

DISCUSSION

The overarching aim of this study was to determine the seasonal variations of RVF seroprevalence and identify demographic factors that are likely to influence RVF seropositivity in humans. The study reports an overall annual RVF seroprevalence in the Lower Moshi area of the Kilimanjaro region in Tanzania to be 12.8%, with the rainy season having the highest seroprevalence at 20.4% against 4% in the dry season. This prevalence suggests that despite the apparent seasonal fluctuations in RVF seroprevalence, individuals are continuously exposed to RVFV throughout the year, even in the absence of an outbreak. Several previous studies have reported endemic RVF transmission during inter-epidemic/epizootic periods.^{5,8,9,11,12,15–27} The mechanisms for RVFV maintenance have been described in previous studies. 2,12,28–31

Climate is known to affect the geographic, temporal distribution, life cycles of arthropod vectors, and the spread and evolution of the viruses they transmit. It also defines the efficiency with which arboviruses are transmitted from arthropods to vertebrate hosts.³² Climatic variables indirectly affect vector abundance and distribution, and their ability to vector arboviral diseases.³³ Lower Moshi sugarcane and paddy irrigation area have no previous reports on RVF outbreaks. However, our results suggest an endemic prevalence of the disease in the area, which labels it as a potential hotspot for RVF transmission in north-eastern Tanzania.

Lower Moshi is characterised by having numerous water streams with abundant populations of Culex spp and Aedes spp mosquitoes,¹⁸ and these are the main RVF vectors.¹¹ The epidemiology of RVF in East Africa is closely associated with the ecological factors prevalent in the Great Rift Valley, which spans Ethiopia, Kenya, and northern Tanzania. Usually, the wet and marshy environments within East Africa cause the transovarially infected dormant eggs of Aedes mosquitoes in the soil

to hatch, making Aedes mosquitoes the principal vector responsible for RVFV maintenance.³⁴ Hatched infectious Aedes mosquitoes transmit the virus to nearby livestock and wildlife vertebrate hosts, which serve as amplifiers of the virus, infecting more mosquitoes, and thereafter secondary vectors of the virus (Culex, Anopheles and Mansonia mosquitoes) amplify the transmission of the virus to non-infected domestic animals and humans.³⁵ Accordingly, the presence of irrigation schemes, abundant vector mosquitoes, and close interaction between ruminants and persons in our study site makes the site an ideal environment for RVFV maintenance.

Consistent with previous findings, old age and large number of household members were associated with higher seropositivity to RVF.^{36,37} As mentioned in studies conducted elsewhere, older male persons are more likely to have been previously exposed to RVFV as a result of their long-term involvement in milking, animal herding and contact with infected vector mosquitoes. Old age predisposition suggests an endemic circulation of RVFV in the study area, rather than a single outbreak event, as a reason for the detected seroprevalence.^{8,9} With this information, lower Moshi can be considered as a potential area for future outbreaks of RVF. Therefore, concerns in controlling the spread of the disease should be taken into consideration. The association between seasonality and RVF will raise awareness on where to concentrate and aid in resource allocation towards the prevention and control of the disease in this area. Furthermore, the study has helped to shade light on the possibility that the study areas continue being infected with RVF during the interepidemic period despite the absence of previous reports on outbreaks of the disease.

CONCLUSIONS

We observed the highest RVF seroprevalence during the long rainy season compared to other seasons. Moreover, seasonal distribution was found to be significantly associated with RVF seropositivity. An increase in age and the number of people living in the same household also increased the chances of RVF seropositivity in human population residing in Lower Moshi district. Furthermore, the study has raised awareness of the possibility of RVF circulation during the inter-epidemic period, even in areas that have not been previously reported with RVF outbreaks.

Study limitations

The study used cross-sectional data and thus we could not establish the temporality or causality of associations between seasonality or any other variable with RVF.

REFERENCE

- 1. Paweska JT. Rift Valley fever. OIE Rev Sci Tech. 2015;34(2):375-389. doi:10.20506/rst.34.2.2364
- 2. Nanyingi MO, Munyua P, Kiama SG, et al. A systematic review of Rift Valley Fever epidemiology 1931–2014. Infect Ecol Epidemiol. 2015;5(1):28024. doi:10.3402/ iee.v5.28024
- 3. Claudia P. Rift Valley Fever Action Framework.; 2022. doi:10.4060/cb8653en
- 4. Grossi-Soyster EN, LaBeaud AD. Rift valley fever: Important considerations for risk mitigation and future outbreaks. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2020;5(2):25-31. doi:10.3390/ tropicalmed5020089
- 5. Gudo ES, Pinto G, Weyer J, et al. Serological evidence of rift valley fever virus among acute febrile patients in Southern Mozambique during and after the 2013 heavy rainfall and flooding: Implication for the management of febrile illness. Virol J. 2016;13(1):1-7. doi:10.1186/ s12985-016-0542-2
- 6. Sindato C, Karimuribo E, Mboera LEG. The epidemiology and socio-economic impact of Rift Valley Fever in Tanzania: A review. Tanzan J Health Res. 2011;13(5 SUPPL.ISS):1-16. doi:10.4314/thrb.v13i5.1
- Wensman JJ, Lindahl J, Wachtmeister N, et al. A study of Rift Valley fever virus in Morogoro and Arusha regions of Tanzania – serology and farmers' perceptions. Infect Ecol Epidemiol. 2015;5(1):30025. doi:10.3402/iee. v5.30025
- Budodo RM, Horumpende PG, Mkumbaye SI, Mmbaga BT, Mwakapuja RS, Chilongola JO. Serological evidence of exposure to rift valley, dengue and chikungunya viruses among agropastoral communities in manyara and morogoro regions in tanzania: A community survey. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14(7):1-14. doi:10.1371/journal. pntd.0008061
- Heinrich N, Saathoff E, Weller N, et al. High Seroprevalence of Rift Valley Fever and Evidence for Endemic Circulation in Mbeya Region, Tanzania, in a Cross-Sectional Study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(3):e1557. doi:10.1371/ JOURNAL.PNTD.0001557
- Jaffu O Chilongola, Richard S Mwakapujac, Pius G Horumpended, John-Mary Vianneye, Ahmed Shabhayd, Sixbert I Mkumbayeb, Hadija S Semvuab BTM. Concurrent Infection With Dengue and Chikungunya Viruses. 2022;4(1):78-86.
- 11. Nyarobi JM. The epidemiology of Rift Valley fever in northern Tanzania . Univ Glas. Published online 2020.

- Lumley S, Horton DL, Hernandez-Triana LLM, Johnson N, Fooks AR, Hewson R. Rift valley fever virus: Strategies for maintenance, survival and vertical transmission in mosquitoes. J Gen Virol. 2017;98(5):875-887. doi:10.1099/jgv.0.000765
- Tarimo AKPR, Mdoe NS, Lutatina JM. Irrigation water prices for farmer-managed irrigation systems in Tanzania: A case study of Lower Moshi irrigation scheme. Agric Water Manag. 1998;38(1):33-44. doi:10.1016/S0378-3774(98)00054-7
- 14. Comtet L, Marié J lou, Davoust B, Cêtre-sossah C, Pourquier P. Preliminary Validation of the ID Screen ® Rift Valley Fever competition multi-species ELISA. Published online 2000:3. https://www.id-vet.com/pdfs/newsletter1/poster_riftc. pdf
- 15. Chevalier V, Rakotondrafara T, Jourdan M, et al. An unexpected recurrent transmission of rift valley fever virus in cattle in a temperate and mountainous area of madagascar. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011;5(12):1-6. doi:10.1371/ journal.pntd.0001423
- Fawzy M, Helmy YA. The one health approach is necessary for the control of rift valley fever infections in egypt: A comprehensive review. Viruses. 2019;11(2):1-24. doi:10.3390/v11020139
- 17. Tshilenge GM, Dundon WG, De Nardi M, et al. Seroprevalence of Rift Valley fever virus in cattle in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2019;51(3):537-543. doi:10.1007/s11250-018-1721-5
- Kumalija MS, Chilongola JO, Budodo RM, et al. Detection of Rift Valley Fever virus inter-epidemic activity in Kilimanjaro Region, North Eastern Tanzania. Glob Health Action. 2021;14(1). doi:10.1080/16549716.2021.1 957554
- 19. Muiruri S, Sutherland LJ, Dahir S, et al. Postepidemic Analysis of Rift Valley Fever Virus Transmission in Northeastern Kenya : A Village Cohort Study. 2011;5(8). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001265
- Martini V, Chevalier V, Ceccato P, et al. The impact of climate change on the epidemiology and control of Rift Valley fever. OIE Rev Sci Tech. 2008;27(2):413-426. doi:10.20506/rst.27.2.1802
- Matiko MK, Salekwa LP, Kasanga CJ, Kimera SI, Evander M, Nyangi WP. Serological evidence of interepizootic/inter-epidemic circulation of Rift Valley fever virus in domestic cattle in Kyela and Morogoro, Tanzania. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12(11):1-15. doi:10.1371/ journal.pntd.0006931
- 22. Mhina AD, Kasanga CJ, Sindato C, Karimuribo ED, Mboera LEG. Rift valley fever potential mosquito vectors and their infection status in Ngorongoro District in northern Tanzania. Tanzan J Health Res. 2015;17(4):1-9. doi:10.4314/thrb.v17i4.3
- 23. Adam AA, Karsany MS, Adam I. Manifestations of severe Rift Valley fever in Sudan. Int J Infect Dis. 2010;14(2):179-180. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2009.03.029
- 24. Ahmed A, Makame J, Robert F, Julius K, Mecky M. Seroprevalence and spatial distribution of Rift Valley fever

- infection among agro-pastoral and pastoral communities during Interepidemic period in the Serengeti ecosystem, northern Tanzania. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18(1):1-8. doi:10.1186/s12879-018-3183-9
- 25. Al Azraqi TA, El Mekki AA, Mahfouz AA. Rift Valley fever among children and adolescents in southwestern Saudi Arabia. J Infect Public Health. 2013;6(3):230-235. doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2012.11.011
- 26. Chamchod F, Cantrell RS, Cosner C, Hassan AN, Beier JC, Ruan S. A Modeling Approach to Investigate Epizootic Outbreaks and Enzootic Maintenance of Rift Valley Fever Virus. Bull Math Biol. 2014;76(8):2052-2072. doi:10.1007/s11538-014-9998-7
- 27. Chengula AA, Kasanga CJ, Mdegela RH, Sallu R, Yongolo M. Molecular detection of Ritt Valley fever virus in serum samples from selected areas of Tanzania. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2014;46(4):629-634. doi:10.1007/ s11250-014-0540-6
- Salekwa LP, Wambura PN, Matiko MK, Watts DM. Circulation of rift valley fever virus antibody in cattle during inter-epizootic/epidemic periods in selected regions of Tanzania. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2019;101(2):459-466. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.18-0798
- 29. Sumaye RD. Epidemiology of inter-epidemic Rift Valley fever transmission in the Kilombero Valley , Tanzania Epid ' emiologie de la transmission inter- ' epid ' emique de la fi evre de la Vall ' ee du Rift dans la vall ' ee de Kilombero , Tanzanie. Published online 2019. file:///C:/Users/hp/ Downloads/Thesis_20190204.pdf
- Pourrut X, Nkoghé D, Souris M, et al. Rift valley fever virus seroprevalence in human rural populations of Gabon. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010;4(7):2006-2007. doi:10.1371/ journal.pntd.0000763
- Rissmann M, Stoek F, Pickin MJ, Groschup MH. Mechanisms of inter-epidemic maintenance of Rift Valley fever phlebovirus. Antiviral Res. 2020;174(June 2019):104692.doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2019.104692
- Gould & Higgsc. Impact of climate change and other factors on emerging arbovirus diseases. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2010;103(2):109-121. doi:10.1016/j. trstmh.2008.07.025.Impact
- 33. Tabachnick WJ, Day JF. Viral Infections and Global change. Published online 2014:21-34.
- 34. Khan I, Damiens D, Soliban SM, Gilles JRL. Effects of drying eggs and egg storage on hatchability and development of Anopheles arabiensis. Malar J. 2013;12(1):1-8. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-12-318

- 35. Schneider VS. Paleogeographic and tectonic evolution of the western branch of the East African Rift System using multiple provenance methods (Albertine Rift, Uganda) vom Fachbereich Material und Geowissenschaften der Technischen Universität Darmstadt zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades. Published online 2019.
- 36. Labeaud AD, Muchiri EM, Ndzovu M, et al. Interepidemic Rift Valley Fever Virus Seropositivity, Northeastern Kenya. 2008;14(8). doi:10.3201/eid1408.080082
- 37. Muiruri S, Kabiru EW, Muchiri EM, Hussein H, Kagondu F, King CH. Cross-Sectional Survey of Rift Valley Fever Virus Exposure in Bodhei Village Located in a Transitional Coastal Forest Habitat in Lamu County, Kenya. 2015;92(2):394-400. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.14-0440

Peer Reviewed

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the technical support of Mr Rule Budodo who performed ELISAS. We also acknowledge the logistical support of Ms Eliza Kussaga, the project administrator. This work was financially supported by the International Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB) grant # CRP/ TZA18-04. This support is highly acknowledged.

Competing Interests: None declared.

Funding: The study received financial support from International Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB) grant # CRP/ TZA18-04

Received: 21 Sept 2022; Accepted: 12 February 2023

Cite this article as Rwegoshola KM, Mwakapuja RS, Mkumbaye SI, Ibrahim PM, Semvua HS, Horumpende PG, Chilongola JO. Seasonal Transmission Dynamics of Rift Valley Fever in Kilimanjaro Region, Tanzania. East Afr Science J. 2023: 5(1): 14-21. <u>https://doi.org/10.24248/easci.v5i1.71</u>

© Rwegoshola et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are properly cited. To view a copy of the license, visit <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/5.0/.</u> When linking to this article, please use the following permanent link: <u>https://doi.org/10.24248/easci.v5i1.71</u>