Prevalence of *Wuchereria bancrofti* Infection in Mosquitoes from Pangani District, Northeastern Tanzania
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** *Wuchereria bancrofti* is the most widely distributed of the 3 nematodes known to cause lymphatic filariasis, the other 2 being *Brugia malayi* and *Brugia timori*. *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles funestus* are the main vectors. However, the relative contributions of mosquito vectors to disease burden and infectivity are becoming increasingly important in coastal East Africa, and this is particularly true in the urban and semiurban areas of Pangani District, Tanzania.

**Methods:** Mosquitoes were sampled from 5 randomly selected villages of Pangani District, namely, Bweni, Madanga, Meka, Msaraza, and Pangani West. Sampling of mosquitoes was done using standard Centers for Disease Control light traps with incandescent light bulbs. The presence of *W. bancrofti* in mosquitoes was determined via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays using NV1 and NV2 primers, and PoolScreen 2 software was used to determine the estimated rate of *W. bancrofti* infection in mosquitoes.

**Results:** A total of 951 mosquitoes were collected, of which 99.36% were *Culex quinquefasciatus*, 0.32% were *Anopheles gambiae*, and 0.32% other *Culex* species. The estimated rate of *W. bancrofti* infection among these mosquitoes was 3.3%.

**Conclusion:** This was the first study employing the use of PoolScreen PCR to detect *W. bancrofti* circulating in mosquito vectors in Pangani District, northeastern Tanzania. The presence of *W. bancrofti* infection suggests the possibility of infected humans in the area. The high abundance of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* calls for integrated mosquito control interventions to minimise the risk of *W. bancrofti* transmission to humans. Further research is required to gain an in-depth understanding of the *W. bancrofti* larval stages in mosquitoes, their drug sensitivity and susceptibility profiles, and their fecundity.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Wuchereria bancrofti* is a filarial nematode that has a thread-like appearance in its adult stage. The female nematodes are about 10 cm long and 0.2 mm wide, while the males are only about 4 cm long. The adults reside and mate in the lymphatic system, where they can produce up to 50,000 microfilaria per day. The microfilariae are 250 to 300 µm long, 8 µm wide, and they circulate in the peripheral blood. They can live in the host as microfilaria for up to 12 months. Adult worms take 6 to 12 months to develop from the larval stage and can live between 4 and 6 years. The parasites are transmitted to humans when infected mosquito vectors deposit infective larvae onto the human skin. The larvae penetrate the skin, migrate to the lymphatic vessels, and develop into male and female adult worms over a period of months. Microfilaria ingested by a vector during a blood meal will develop to infective larvae in about 10 to 14 days. These migrate to the mosquito’s proboscis and may then be transmitted to a new human host during a subsequent blood meal. Mosquitoes thus play an essential role in maintaining the lifecycle of *W. bancrofti* and disseminating the infection.

A blood smear is a simple and accurate diagnostic tool, provided the blood sample is taken during the day when the juveniles are in the peripheral circulation. A polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test can be performed to detect a minute fraction – as little as 1 pg of filarial DNA. Some infected people do not have microfilaria in their blood. As a result, tests aimed to detect antigens from adult worms are used. Ultrasonography can also be used to detect the movements and noises caused by the movement of adult worms.

*Wuchereria bancrofti* causes lymphatic filariasis, which is a disfiguring and disabling disease that is associated with severe suffering and socioeconomic burden in endemic communities. Current estimates suggest that more than 1 billion people living in endemic areas, who are at risk of the infection, and that more than one-third of these at-risk individuals are in sub-Saharan Africa. In Tanzania, about 34 million people are at risk, while 6 million people are already affected by lymphatic...
The mosquitoes collected at each village were held separately and transported to the National Institute for Medical Research's Tanga Centre for identification based on morphological identification keys. Female mosquitoes were organised into pools of 20, stored in cryogenic vials with silica gel, and transported to Sokoine University of Agriculture in Morogoro for screening of W. bancrofti.

**METHODS**

**Study Setting**

This study was carried out in 5 rural villages of Pangani District, which has an area of 1,830 km², making it the smallest district in Tanga Region. It is located in the southern part of Tanga, extending from 5°15.5’ to 6° S and from 38°35’ to 39°E. It is bordered by Handeni District to the west, the Indian Ocean to the east, Pwani Region to the south, and Muheza District to the north. Altitude ranges from 0 to 186 m above sea level. The Pangani District is administratively divided into 13 wards and 23 villages.

**Study Design**

This was an 8-month cross-sectional study, which involved trapping of mosquitoes for laboratory examination of W. bancrofti. The 8 months were divided into 2 rounds, and 5 villages were randomly selected. Houses for mosquito collection were randomly selected from each village. The mosquitoes were sampled using standard Centers for Disease Control light traps with incandescent light bulbs (Model 512, John W. Hock Company, Gainesville, FL, USA). Female mosquitoes were crushed in phosphate buffered saline, lysed, and then proteins were precipitated out using ethanol. The supernatant was passed through a silica column, followed by washing of the bound DNA. Afterwards, the silica was dried and DNA eluted into RNase-free Eppendorf tubes. DNA was stored at -20°C until PCR was done.

**DNA Extraction from Mosquitoes**

DNA from the pools of 20 mosquitoes was extracted using a modified version of the Qiagen DNeasy kit protocol (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Briefly, mosquitoes were crushed in phosphate buffered saline, lysed, and then proteins were precipitated out using ethanol. The supernatant was passed through a silica column, followed by washing of the bound DNA. Afterwards, the silica was dried and DNA eluted into RNase-free Eppendorf tubes. DNA was stored at -20°C until PCR was done.

**Detection of W. bancrofti Using PCR**

PCR assays to detect W. bancrofti were performed using NV1 and NV2 primers. The target sequence for these primers is the Ssp I repeat, a gene present at ~500 copies per haploid genome. Amplification with these primers yields an 188 bp fragment. Each 20 µl PCR reaction contained 1× Qiagen Taq buffer; 50 Mm MgCl₂; 50 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP; 10 pmol/ µl of NV1 and NV2 primer; 1.25 U HotStar Taq DNA polymerase; and 2 µl genomic DNA. PCR reactions were run on a Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Jurong, Singapore), and reaction conditions consisted of a single step of 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 94°C for 30s, 54°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 45 seconds. The final step was a 10-minute extension at 72°C. PCR products were size fractionated on 1.5% agarose gel stained with GelRed (Biotium, Hayward, CA, USA). Agarose gels were run at 100 V for 40 minutes and visualised under ultraviolet light using a gel documentation system (EZ Gel Imager, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). A positive control mosquito pool, known to be infected with W. bancrofti – a kind donation from the National Institute for Medical Research, Amani Tanga Centre – was used, along with negative controls, which were run concurrently with the samples to ensure that the PCR amplification was not contaminated. This helped prevent false positive results and ensure that all the reagents were working properly.

**Determination of Estimated Rate of W. Bancrofti Infection in Mosquito Vectors**

The calculation of vector infection rates from pool screening was addressed via an application of the binomial distribution. A maximum likelihood estimation algorithm was used to estimate the maximum likelihood of W. bancrofti infection at the 95% confidence level in mosquitoes, whereby total pools screened, the number of positive pools, and pool sizes were entered into PoolScreen 2 software to obtain infection rate. PoolScreen 2 software was obtained from the Department...
ment of Biostatistics and Division of Geographic Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, USA. The programme relies on the fact that the PCR assay is sensitive enough to detect a single infected insect in a pool containing large numbers of uninfected insects.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Medical Research Coordination Committee (MRCC), based at the National Institute for Medical Research, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania (Ref: NIMR/HQ/R.8a/Vol. IX/1834). Permission to conduct study was also obtained from regional, district, and respective village authorities. Moreover, written informed consent was sought from the heads of the households where mosquito collection was carried out.

RESULTS

Lymphatic Filariasis Vector Abundance

A total of 951 female mosquitoes were collected: 174 from Bweni, 301 from Madanga, 180 from Meka, 137 from Msaraza, and 159 from Pangani West. Among the 951 collected mosquitoes, by far the majority (99.36%) were *Culex quinquefasciatus*, followed by *Anopheles gambiae* (0.32%), and *Culex cinereus* and *Culex pipsiens* (0.32%) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village</th>
<th><em>Culex quinquefasciatus</em> n</th>
<th>Anopheles gambiae sensu lato n</th>
<th><em>Culex cinereus</em> and <em>Culex pipsiens</em> n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bweni</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madanga</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meka</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msaraza</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pangani West</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>945 (99.36%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 (0.32%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 (0.32%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Presence of *W. bancrofti* in Mosquitoes

All *Culex quinquefasciatus* mosquitoes collected in Pangani District were screened for *W. bancrofti* infection. From 47 mosquito pools screened for *W. bancrofti*, 24 (51%) pools tested positive and 23 (48%) tested negative. Positive pools produced a PCR product of approximately 188 bp, an expected size after *Ssp I* amplification using NV1 and NV2 primers. Figure 2 shows an example of the agarose gel after performing PCR for the detection of *W. bancrofti* in mosquito pools.

Estimated Rate of Infection of *W. bancrofti* in Mosquito Vectors

A total of 951 female mosquitoes were screened for infection with *W. bancrofti* using Poolscreen 2 software, which uses maximum likelihood at the 95% confidence level based on likelihood rates for determining the infection rates. Msaraza village had the highest estimated rate of infection, at 5.34%, and Bweni village had the lowest estimated rate of infection, at 2.9% (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Monitoring infection rates among humans and vectors is an essential component of any lymphatic filariasis control programme. Such monitoring informs decision making, for example, deciding when to stop MDA and to certify the elimination of the disease. Monitoring transmission or infection in vectors is ideal, as mosquitoes may offer a real-time estimate of transmission, even though the manifestation of microfilaremia may be marginally quicker in humans. Low-level microfilaremia may also not be easy to detect in human populations.

The results obtained from the present study indicate that *Culex quinquefasciatus* was the most abundant vector species caught during the study. These observations concur with a study carried out in Dar es Salaam,22 which reported that out of 12,096 vector mosquitoes caught using light traps, the great majority (99.0%) were *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, followed by a few *Anopheles gambiae* (0.9%) and *Anopheles funestus* (0.1%).

The higher abundance of *Culex quinquefasciatus* in the present study might be because mosquitoes were collected during the dry season, during which the overall mosquito population is normally relatively low. The observed mosquito abundance has important implications on the transmission of both malaria and lymphatic filariasis, but the low anopheline mosquito abundance observed in the present study has greater implications on malaria transmission.

*Wuchereria bancrofti* infection in mosquitoes was found in all 5 villages, with an overall infection rate of 3.3%. Derua et al.15 reported that the overall rate of *W. bancrofti* infection among 3 sibling species – *An. gambiae*, *Anopheles merus*, and *Anopheles arabiensis* – in their study area in northern Tanzania, was 3.6%, which is similar our calculated rate. It should be noted that these infection rates are based on all vector-borne stages of the parasite, since the PCR testing method used cannot distinguish between the different larval stages. There is a need to determine the presence of the infective stages of *W. bancrofti* to estimate the risk of lymphatic filariasis trans-
mission by these mosquitoes. The detection of infection in mosquito vectors is an indication that there may be infected humans in the area, and a high rate of W. bancrofti in the vectors might reflect a high prevalence of microfilaraemia in the human population. A previous study reported the overall prevalence of 24.5% for W. bancrofti microfilaria among people over the age of 1 year. In a similar study, the prevalence of W. bancrofti-specific circulating antigen was 53.3%. While annual MDA remains the standard intervention for interrupting the transmission of lymphatic filariasis, vector control to reduce the number of potential mosquito vectors is increasingly recognised as a complementary strategy in some contexts. A combination of more than 1 vector control method would probably enhance the impact on vector populations and lymphatic filariasis transmission reduction, particularly if the methods address different stages of the mosquito lifecycle or if they have different modes of action. To further explore the findings and implications of this study, we recommend that further research – with much larger sample sizes and encompassing parasites from different geo-climatic regions – be conducted to enhance our understanding of W. bancrofti vector infection status. Additionally, further research comparing the prevalence of W. bancrofti in the human population with that among mosquito vectors in the study area and other endemic areas is of paramount importance, to draw clear conclusions regarding W. bancrofti infection prevalence in Tanzania.

CONCLUSION
A high W. bancrofti vector infection rate of 3.3% was found in the present study, indicating a high likelihood of human infection in the area. Most mosquitoes collected were Cx. quinquefasciatus, which calls for integrated mosquito control interventions to lower the risk of W. bancrofti transmission from mosquitoes to humans. Additional research is needed to gain an in-depth understanding of the W. bancrofti larval stages in mosquitoes, their drug sensitivity and susceptibility profiles, and fecundity. Such information would inform treatment strategies and decision making related to, for example, how long to run MDA programmes and the optimal size of the human population treatment unit.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Mosquitoes n</th>
<th>Screened Pools n</th>
<th>Positive Pools n</th>
<th>Estimated Rate of Infection (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bweni</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.9 (8.9-7.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madanga</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.09 (1.15-6.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meka</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.15 (1.04-1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msaraza</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.34 (1.3-1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pangani West</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.4 (8.6-8.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.3 (2.13-5.37)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ERI, estimated rate of infection.
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